NSW GOVERNMENT Planning & Environment

Planning Team Report

Planning Proposal to amend Warringah LEP 2011 to reclassify land from Community to Operational for land at Wakehurst Parkway, Oxford Falls (0 jobs, 0 dwellings)

Proposal Title :	Planning Proposal to amend Warringah LEP 2011 to reclassify land from Community to Operational for land at Wakehurst Parkway, Oxford Falls (0 jobs, 0 dwellings)			
Proposal Summary		urst Parkway, Oxford Falls (Part Lot e the potential future sale of the site.		
	The Planning Proposal does not seek to amend the zoning or introduce building contro the site.			
PP Number :	PP_2017_NBEAC_004_00	Dop File No :	17/08435	
roposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	14-Jun-2017	LGA covered :	Northern Beaches	
Region :	Metro(CBD)	RPA :	Northern Beaches Council	
State Electorate :	DAVIDSON	Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Reclassification			
_ocation Details				
Street :				
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :	
Land Parcel : Par	t Lot 21 DP 819277			
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Douglas Cunningham			
Contact Number :	0292746357			
Contact Email :	douglas.cunningham@planning	j.nsw.gov.au	2	
RPA Contact Detai	ls			
Contact Name :	Jeanne Thuez			
Contact Number :	0299942258			
Contact Email :	jeanne.thuez@northernbeaches	s.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Wayne Williamson			
Contact Number :	0292746585			
Contact Email :	wayne.williamson@planning.ns	w.gov.au		

	,,	(-)	j-)
Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	
MDP Number :		Date of Release	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots 👔	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	The Department of Planning and E communication and meetings with has not met any lobbyists in relati any meetings between other Depa	n lobbyists has been complie ion to this proposal, nor has t	d with. Sydney Region East he Director been advised of
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	The Planning Proposal seeks to a Plan 2011 to reclassify part Lot 21 Community land to Operational la	DP 819277 at Wakehurst Par	kway, Oxford Falls from
	The Planning Proposal is support land and will not result in the loss Planning Proposal does not seek t the site.	of any land actively used for	public recreation. The
•	In February 2017, Council received Lot 21 DP 819277 (the site) from C		2
	The site is located between Wakel Grammar School (School), Oxford approximately 15 metres by 133 m detention system, which serves th zoning of RE1 Public Recreation.	Falls. The site is zoned RE1 letres. The land is currently v	Public Recreation and is acant except for an on-site
	The Planning Proposal will seek to the site to be potentially sold in th Council's agreement to purchase to finalisation. There is currently no l School and no business dealings	e future. The School has exp the land, should the Planning lease agreements in place for	ressed the intention to seek Proposal proceed to the use of the land by the
	Council is not seeking to rezone th (should this reclassification proce Policy – Infrastructure 2007. Shoul site's zoning will be reviewed in lig	ed) can be permitted by State Id the adjacent deferred lands	e Environmental Planning s be rezoned, the subject

Council has requested delegation to make the plan. However, as the reclassification will involve the discharge of interests, delegation is not able to be granted in this instance. The Department will manage the finalisation process.

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment : The intended outcome of the Planning Proposal is to reclassify Lot 21 DP 819277 (Part) Wakehurst Parkway, Oxford Falls from Community to Operational land to facilitate the potential future sale of the site.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The Planning Proposal states that the amendments to Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 are:

• amend Schedule 4 Part 1 to include reference to the land; and

• amend Land Reclassification (Part Lots) Map.

However given that Council has indicated that interests will be discharged, Schedule 4 Part 2 should be amended instead of Schedule 4 Part 1. A Gateway condition will be issued to correct this error.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

4.3 Flood Prone Land

- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
- 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

The objectives of this direction are to protect life, property and the environment from bush fire hazards, and to encourage sound management of bush fire prone areas. As the subject site is identified as being subject to bushfire threats, it is subject to this Direction.

The land is predominantly mowed lawn and there is no intention to undertake any works. The supporting Bushfire Report notes that given no building works are proposed as part of the proposal, any future development application would need to meet the requirements or the intent of Planning for Bush Fire Protection – 20006 and of the construction requirements of AS3959 – 2009.

It is considered that any future development on the land can be appropriately managed to mitigate bushfire risk.

6.2 RESERVING LAND FOR A PUBLIC PURPOSE

4.4 PLANNING FOR BUSHFIRE PROTECTION

The objective of this Direction is to facilitate the provision of public services and

facilities by reserving land for public purpose and to facilitate the removal of reservations of land where the land is no longer required for acquisition.

The proposal does not seek to rezone the land and will not result in the loss of RE1 Public Recreation zoned land. At this time, there is no need to rezone the land as the operations of the School (should this reclassification proceed) can be permitted by State Environmental Planning Policy – Infrastructure 2007.

It is considered that the proposal is consistent with this Direction.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain : The proposal is considered to be consistent will all S117 Directions and SEPPs.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The mapping provided is considered adequate for the purposes of public exhibition.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : Public consultation will be undertaken in accordance with the Gateway determination. Council suggests an exhibition period of 28 days.

PROJECT TIMELINE

Council has provided an indicative project timeline with a submission date to the Department in December 2017. The Department considers a 12 month project timeline for completion is adequate.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

The proposal is considered adequate and sufficient detail has been provided.

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : December 2016

Comments in relation Warringah Local Environmental Plan was gazetted on 9 December 2011. to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	A Planning Proposal is needed to reclassify the site and discharge the interests through the use of Schedule 4.
Consistency with strategic planning	The planning proposal is consistent with the goals and objectives of A Plan for Growing Sydney and the draft North District Plan. The Planning Proposal does not propose any
framework :	changes to the strategic direction of the Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011. The proposal is consistent with the Warringah Community Strategic Plan 2023.

economic impacts :	-	ENVIRONMENT It is agreed that there are no known critical habitats or threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their habitats which will be affected by the proposal.				
	BUSHFIRE PROT	TECTION ominantly mo	wed lawn and there is no inte	ntion to undertake any works.		
		As indicated in the Bushfire Report included in the Planning Proposal, the land can be appropriately managed to mitigate bushfire risk.				
			kehust Parkway, a classified e Services for comment.	road, the proposal will be		
	-	oposal will no of the land to	t have any negative social or operational will increase its a	economic effects. The bility to be used for a purpose		
	The proposal wil	l not result in	the loss of any land actively	used for public recreation.		
ssessment Proces	SS					
Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days		
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation	RPA		
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Transport for NS	W - Roads an	d Maritime Services			
s Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	Yes				
2)(a) Should the matte	r proceed ?	Yes				
f no, provide reasons :						
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No					
f Yes, reasons :						
dentify any additional s	tudies, if required at					
f Other, provide reasor	IS .					
dentify any internal cor	sultations, if require	d :				
lo internal consultatio	on required					
s the provision and fun	ding of state infrastru	ucture relevan	t to this plan? No			
f Yes, reasons :						
uments						

Letter to DPE requesting Gateway.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Attachment 1 - Information Checklist.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Attachment 2 - Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Attachment 3 - Council Report and Resolution 26 April	Proposal	Yes
2017.pdf		
Attachment 4 - Evaluation for the Delegation of Plan	Proposal	Yes
Making Functions.pdf		
Attachment 5 - Indicative Project Timeline.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Attachment 6 - Certificate of Title.pdf	Proposal	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

reparation of the plantin	
S.117 directions:	4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:
2	1. Prior to public exhibition, the Planning Proposal is to be updated to remove reference to Schedule 4 Part 1 and replace with Schedule 4 Part 2, given that Council has indicated that interests will be discharged.
	2. The planning proposal is to be publicly exhibited for a minimum of 28 days.
	3. Consultation is required with Roads and Maritime Services.
	4. A public hearing is required to be held on the reclassification of the subject land.
Supporting Reasons :	 5. The planning proposal be completed within 9 months of the Gateway Determination. The planning proposal is supported because it: is consistent with the NSW strategic planning framework and Council's strategic plan; assists Council to better manage Council-owned land; and will not result in the loss of any land actively used for public recreation.
	`//
Signature:	
Printed Name:	W. W. 11 Ianson Date: 29/6/2017